

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,841	02/23/2004	Bruce Allan Crawford	BC-04	1477
7590 08/29/2005			EXAMINER	
James Addison Barry, Jr.			MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
105 Glenway I	Point			D - DCD - 1/2 (DCD
Lebanon, TN 37087			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MARED 00/20/2005	

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{C}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/784,841	CRAWFORD, BRUCE ALLAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Debra S. Meislin	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty () will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-21 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/784,841

Art Unit: 3723

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 10-12, drawn to a hand tool, classified in class 81, subclass 418.
 - II. Claims 13-21, drawn to a locknut, classified in class 411, subclass 427.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of Group II has separate utility such as being rotatable by hand. In the instant case, invention of Group I has separate utility such as being for use on other than locknuts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Newly submitted claims 13-21 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons set forth, above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 13-21 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,841 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. As set forth in the previous office action, the use of the trademark "Channel Lock" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. See page 2, line 15 of the specification.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Appropriate correction is required in response to this office action.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Negus (5,595,094).

Note figures 1 and 2 of Negus.

It is noted that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Negus is capable of performing the intended use of gripping a locknut.

8. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, line 8, "the jaws the jaws" should be ---the jaws, the jaws---. In line 12, "said first notch" lacks antecedent basis. In line 12, "formed by engaging" is misdescriptive and should be ---formed for engaging---.

9. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The original disclosure, including the drawings and specification, does not provide support for "an edge" in claim 11 and "a planar edge" in claim 12.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Negus is capable of performing the intended use of gripping a locknut.

It is noted that claims 14-21 are replete with lack of antecedent basis and grammatical errors.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra S. Meislin whose telephone number is 571 272-4487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,841

Art Unit: 3723

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra S Meislin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

August 24, 2005